

1 the Supreme Court which would make the subversive
2 Article LXXXV-A, would declare it to be unconstitutional
3 itself, but I don't feel that my participation in that
4 case has in any way affected or in any significant way
5 affected my objectivity with regard to constitutionality.

6 We have had absolutely no sentiment on the com-
7 mittee favoring this type of provision in the Maryland
8 Constitution.

9 I think that many of you are aware, after our
10 last experience in drafting the Constitution, that this
11 is not the kind of provision that a modern Constitution,
12 or the one that we have proposed up to this point, would
13 contain.

14 Our proposals have dealt with specific areas
15 of the legislative, judiciary and executive provisions
16 of the Constitution, and this rather stands out as a non-
17 secular or sore thumb, this blanket prohibition against
18 mother and God, as it stands.

19 We felt, while we are not against the important
20 purpose of the provision, that it should not have consti-
21 tutional status. Now, there was a possible area of